

### Appendix 3: Table of consultation responses

Respondent	Comments	Officer Response
Stratton Audley Parish Council	<p>1) Buildings and structures are protected in a conservation area so why is there a need for Article 4 designation and specifically identifying buildings as local Heritage Assets?</p> <p>2) Does being added to this list affect the 'permitted rights' for the property as a whole or just those parts that can be seen from the street?</p> <p>3) Currently if the owner of a non-listed building within the Conservation area wished to change its appearance by rendering or painting a stone house white, in full view of public areas, would they have to apply for planning permission, or would it be covered under permitted development? If planning permission is required would the same be true if painting a building out of sight?</p> <p>4) If the building is adjacent to the Conservation Area do the same rules apply?</p> <p>5) We are also interested in the treatment of solar panels on roofs in a conservation area, where the panels can be seen from the road and again whether there is different treatment for houses within the conservation area and those just bordering it but still within the village?</p> <p>6) Please can you supply a specific list of Permitted Developments in and out of a conservation area? This does not appear to be on the CDC planning portal.</p> <p>7) Is there such a thing as a village boundary and if so, where is it and what are the effects of it on the conservation area and planning issues?</p>	Officers responded in writing outlining permitted development rights and the implications of Article 4 Directions and confirming the status of a village boundary for Stratton Audley.
Simon and	We are very puzzled as to why the conservation area	Officers met landowners on site and reviewed the proposed boundary. Subsequently the final

<p>Carolyn Goss</p>	<p>encompasses the whole of the farm at the north east end of the village, taking in such things as muck stead and general farm building built in the 1980's. Whilst other parts of the village have the same age or older structures of farm buildings etc and are not considered for the area. The older parts of the farm are already in the conservation area and whilst speaking to representatives at the open meeting they only seemed to be considering one small brick wall facing onto the road.</p> <p>There seemed to be no reasonable explanation of the plans for enlarging this area on the farm so dramatically.</p>	<p>boundary was revised to remove the 20<sup>th</sup> century farm buildings as this part of the farm yard was not considered to have sufficient architectural or historic interest.</p>
<p>Janet James</p>	<p>The reappraisal of the Stratton Audley Conservation Area presented at the Parish Meeting recently appears to confine itself to simply moving the edges of the area to include ancient footpaths and boundaries. It fails to include any development on the north side of the village. These dwellings form a material part of the village and depict the need for housing after the WW11. These groups of properties appear on the outskirts of various villages and show how village living was forced to change as a consequence of national and international activity. Late 20th. century developments in Stratton Audley along Cavendish Place are included, but developments along and behind Cherry Street, and those in Glen Close are omitted from the overall plan. The degree of inconsistency is confusing and discriminatory.</p> <p>The village is a complete entity and any developments permitted within the village boundaries</p>	<p>A further review of the boundary on site was completed by offices and it was considered that taking into account paragraph 191 of the NPPF to include the whole village would not be appropriate and would not fulfil the requirement to ensure sufficient special architectural or historic interest.</p>

	<p>contribute to the character of the community. The reappraisal should be reviewed and encouraged to include all property within the village borders; all properties reflect an historical development of the village, not just a selected few.</p> <p>Given the drive for additional housing within the Cherwell area, regular reviews of conservation areas and their <i>raison d'être</i>, are to be encouraged, including seeking the views of local communities</p>	
--	---	--